Thomas J. Salerno, Esq. (#007492)
 Jordan A. Kroop, Esq. (#018825)

 Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.
 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 2700

 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4441
 Tel: (602) 528-4000

 Fax: (602) 253-8129
 E-Mail: tsalerno@ssd.com
 jkroop@ssd.com

Attorneys for LaSalle National Bank as Trustee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re:

LEEWARD HOTELS, L.P., an Arizona limited partnership,

Debtor.

In Proceedings under Chapter 11

Case No. B-99-09162-ECF-GBN

SECURED LENDER'S OBJECTION TO SUBSTITUTION OF EXPERT WITNESS

LaSalle National Bank, in its capacity as Trustee for the registered holders of DLJ Mortgage Acceptance Corporation Commercial Mortgage Passthrough Certificates Series 1997-CF1, as serviced by Lennar Partners, Inc. (the "Secured Lender"), objects to the Debtor's "substitution" of its feasibility witness as set forth on the Debtor's "Notice of Substitution of Expert Witness," filed with the Court on April 24, 2000 (the "Substitution"), purporting to "substitute" Kevin Holt for Michael Straneva. The Debtor should not now be given a second opportunity to name a putative expert witness well after the Court-imposed deadline simply because the Debtor failed to ensure that its first designated witness was not precluded from testifying.

In accordance with the terms the 'Stipulated Order Establishing Schedule in Advance of Joint Hearing to Consider Confirmation of Competing Plan of Reorganization," dated March 16, 2000 (the "Stipulated Order"), the "Debtor's Initial List of Witnesses and Exhibits," filed on April 10, 2000 (the "Debtor's List") was a *final designation* of expert witnesses. The Stipulated Order is clear that no expert witness not already identified on the Debtor's List may be called to testify in these proceedings.

The Debtor's List designated Michael Straneva, of E&Y Kenneth Leventhal Real Estate Group ("E&Y"), as a witness, without designating Mr. Straneva (or any other witness) as an expert. Based on the Substitution, it now appears as though the Debtor intended that Mr. Straneva testify as an expert witness. When the Debtor designated Mr. Straneva, the Debtor had obviously not investigated whether Mr. Straneva could serve as a witness in these proceedings and had not determined whether Mr. Straneva was "disinterested" for purposes of his engagement as a professional in these proceedings.

Had the Debtor done so, however, it would have discovered that Mr. Straneva was precluded from serving as the Debtor's witness in these proceedings in light of a direct conflict that exists by virtue of E&Y's long-standing and ongoing professional services for Lennar Partners, the special servicer for the Secured Lender in these proceedings. The Debtor subtly intimates in the Substitution that Lennar Partners somehow "claimed" this conflict at the last minute, only after the Debtor had designated Mr. Straneva as a witness. This is not true. Rather, the conflict was immediately apparent to both Mr. Straneva and Lennar Partners the moment the Debtor named Mr. Straneva as a witness adverse to Lennar Partners in these proceedings. This is a direct conflict of interest that would have virtually leapt out at all parties had the Debtor investigated the matter (or ostensibly informed Mr. Straneva of the adverse parties) before the Debtor submitted the Debtor's List. It is not Lennar Partners' fault that Mr. Straneva is precluded from testifying in these proceedings; he is not "disinterested" as a matter of law.

The Debtor knew that the Stipulated Order (which was entered nearly an entire month before the witness designation deadline) required that expert witnesses be *finally designated*, and should have investigated whether its expert witness could even pass the disinterested test contained in Bankruptcy Code § 327(a). Now, rather than live with the results of its own strategic decisions, the Debtor has filed

¹ The Secured Lender took pains to ensure that a full conflicts check for all its witnesses, expert and non-expert, was completed and came back clear before the Secured Lender designated its own witnesses.

the Substitution in an attempt to give itself a "second chance" at designating an expert witness, more than two weeks after the Court-imposed deadline of such designation. That the Debtor failed to abide by clear dictates of the Court's Stipulated Order does not now give the Debtor a "do-over" and a new opportunity to designate Mr. Holt. The parties agreed to, and this Court imposed, the deadline on *final* expert witness designations for a good reason – namely, that all parties to the confirmation proceedings be given ample notice of all expert witnesses that will testify against their positions. Essentially, if the Debtor is now permitted to "substitute" one expert for another at this late date – scarcely more than a month before the close of all discovery, the Debtor will have (through its own neglect) given itself a two-week head start on trial preparation ahead of the Secured Lender. That is unfair and is a prejudicial undermining of the Stipulated Order.

Accordingly, the Secured Lender objects to the Debtor's "substitution" of Mr. Holt and respectfully requests that the Court enter an order prohibiting the Debtor from calling as an expert witness any person not already designated on the Debtor's List. Mr. Holt was not designated in a timely manner. The Debtor's failure to investigate obvious conflicts of interest should not be made to prejudice the Secured Lender.

DATED this 25th day of April, 2000.

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY, L.L.P.

By: /s/ Jordan A. Kroop
Thomas J. Salerno
Jordan A. Kroop
40 North Central Avenue, Suite 2700
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Attorneys for LaSalle National Bank as Trustee